

Machine Learning & Deep Learning

Tutorial

MSCV/ESIREM Antoine Lavault antoine.lavault@u-bourgogne.fr

$\left[$ MLPs and CNNs $\left[$

Any question or exercise marked with a "*" is typically more technical or goes further into developing the tools and notions seen during class.

Problem 1 $^{\top}$

Basic concepts. In this exercise, we will use the term convolution to describe the cross-correlation operation to remain coherent with the vocabulary of CNNs. Note that the cross-correlation is a linear operation just like the convolution.

- 1. To get warmed up, let's review some calculations using convolution and different kinds of padding.
	- (a) Suppose you have a $32 \times 32 \times 3$ image (a 32×32 image with 3 input channels). What are the resulting dimensions when you convolve with a $5 \times 5 \times 3$ filter with stride 1 and 0 padding?
	- (b) What if we zero-pad the input by 2 (all around)?
	- (c) Suppose we stack 10 of these $5 \times 5 \times 3$ filters and continue to zero pad the input by 2. What is the new shape of the output, and how many parameters are in our filters (not including any bias parameters)?
	- (d) What would be the spatial dimensions after applying a 1×1 convolution? Think about what this does.
- 2. We should note that convolutions are a linear operation. Recalling linear algebra, any linear map (between finite-dimensional spaces) can be expressed as a matrix. In this question, we will see how to write a convolution as a matrix multiplication.

Let's consider a 1D convolution. Consider an input $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and a filter $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We also write \bar{x} to denote the result of zero-padding the input by 1 on each end. We want to find the matrix W such that:

$$
W\bar{x}=\bar{x}\ast w
$$

- (a) Write down the expression of \bar{x} and calculate the convolution $\bar{x} * w$.
- (b) Derive from this the expression of W .
- (c) What remarks can you make about this result?

3. Let's briefly review the transpose convolution operator.

Note that early convolutional and pooling layers tend to downsample or reduce the size of tensors, but sometimes we need to upsample, for example, in semantic segmentation or image generation. This operator increases the resolution of the intermediate tensors, which we often want if we want the output of our network to be an image (e.g., of the same size as the input images). Please note that it is sometimes referred to as a deconvolution operator, but it is not the preferred wording because it is an overloaded term with other commonly used definitions.

The transpose convolution can be thought of as flipping the forward and backward passes of the convolution step. In addition, the naming comes from how it can be implemented similarly to convolution but with the weight matrix transposed (along with different padding). Convolutional layers typically downsample images spatially, but sometimes we want to upsample.

- (a) What kind of network architecture can be used for a segmentation task?
- (b) Let our input be $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and the kernel be $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ Assume the input and output channels are 1, padding 0, and stride 1. What is the output of the transpose convolution layer?
- 4. Explain Dropout, why it could improve performance, and when we should use it.
- 5. What features of ResNet, besides better initialization techniques and Batch Normalization, alleviate the vanishing gradient problem?
- 6. Write the definition of the ReLU, leaky ReLU, and ELU activation functions.
- Problem 2 $^{\top}$

Deriving Xavier Initialization Let our activation be the tanh activation.

- 1. Compute the derivative of tanh = $2\sigma(2)$ 1. What can we say about this function about the outputs for small inputs?
- 2. Write the output of the *i*-th layer neuron z_i , for the a_k , $1 \le k \le D_a$ and $W_{i,j}$ the neuron parameter, with the tanh activation
- 3. We furthermore assume that weights and inputs are i.i.d. and centered at zero, and biases are initialized as zero. We want the magnitude of the variance to remain constant with each layer.
	- (a) Write the condition expressed above mathematically.
	- (b) Given all the above assumptions, derive the Xavier Initialization for tanh activation, which initializes each weight as:

$$
W_{i,j} = \mathcal{N}(0, 1/D_a)
$$

where D_a is the dimensionality of a.

Hint: one of the previous questions gives us a certain approximation of tanh *near a certain point. Also, for independent random variables X and Y, the variance of their sum or difference is the sum of their variances.*

L Problem 3^{$\mathsf{\lceil}$}

Let's get ReLU activated! *It's hard finding puns sometimes....*

1. Compute the output of the forward pass of a ReLU layer with input x given below:

- 2. Recall the derivative of ReLU.
- 3. With the gradients with respect to the outputs dL/dy given below, compute the gradient of the loss with respect to the input x using the backward pass for a ReLU layer:

$$
dL/dy = \begin{bmatrix} 4.5 & 1.2 & 2.3 & 1.3 \\ -1.3 & -6.3 & 4.1 & -2.9 \\ -0.5 & 1.2 & 3.5 & 1.2 \\ -6.1 & 0.5 & -4.1 & -3.2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Note: ReLU treats every entry independently, and so does it for the backward pass.

- 4. What advantages does using ReLU activations have over sigmoid activations?
- 5. ReLU layers have non-negative outputs. What is a negative consequence of this problem? What layer types were developed to address this issue?

Problem 4 $^{\top}$

Definition 1 (Latent Variable Models). A latent variable model p is a probability distribution over ob-

served variables x and latent variables z (variables that are not directly observed but inferred), $p_{\theta}(x, z)$. Because we know z is unobserved, the learning methods we have seen in class (like supervised learning methods) are unsuitable.

Towards Variational Auto-Encoders (*) Our learning problem of maximizing the log-likelihood of the data turns is $\arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p_{\theta}(x_i)$ and $p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz$. Unfortunately, the integral is intractable, but we will discuss ways to find a tractable lower bound.

- 1. Recall quickly the architecture of a (bottleneck) auto-encoder.
- 2. In the case of the VAE [\[Kingma and Welling, 2014\]](#page-3-1), the encoder maps a high-dimensional input x (like the pixels of an image) and then (most often) outputs the parameters of a Gaussian distribution that specify the hidden variable z. In other words, it outputs $\mu_{z|x}$ and $\sigma_{z|x}$.

What could be a clear drawback of using a random Gaussian to specify the hidden variable?

REFERENCES REFERENCES

3. To train VAEs, we find parameters that maximize the likelihood of the data, but the integral inside is intractable. However, we can show that optimizing a tractable lower bound on the data likelihood is possible, called the Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO).

Write out the log-likelihood objective of a **discrete** latent variable model.

4. Using the Jensen's inequality, show that:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p_{\theta}(x_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{q(z|x_i)}[\log p_Z(z) - \log q(z|x_i) + \log p_{\theta}(x_i|z)]
$$

With p_Z being the real latent distribution

5. Show that our derived approximation can be reformulated as the Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO),

$$
L_i = E_{z \sim q_\varphi(z|x_i)}[\log p_\theta(x_i|z)] - D_{KL}(q_\varphi(z|x_i)||p(z))
$$

Hint: $D_{KL}(q || p) = -E[\log p(x)] - H(q)$

- 6. To optimize the Variational Lower Bound derived in the previous problem, which distribution do we sample z from?
- 7. The re-parametrization trick allows us to break $q_{\varphi}(z|x)$ into a deterministic and stochastic portion, and re-parametrize from $q_{\varphi}(z|x)$ to $g_{\varphi}(x,\varepsilon)$. In fact, we can let, $z = g_{\varphi}(x,\varepsilon) = g_0(x) + \varepsilon g(x)$ where $\varepsilon \sim p(\varepsilon)$. This reparametrization trick is simple to implement and shows good behavior in practice.

Let us get an intuition for how we might use re-parametrization in practice. Assume we have a normal distribution q, parametrized by θ , such that, $q_{\theta}(x) \sim N(\theta, 1)$, and we would like to solve,

$$
\min_{\theta} E_q[x^2]
$$

Use the re-parametrization trick on x to derive the gradient.

References

[Kingma and Welling, 2014] Kingma, D. P. and Welling, M. (2014). Auto-encoding variational bayes. In Bengio, Y. and LeCun, Y., editors, *2nd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2014, Banff, AB, Canada, April 14-16, 2014, Conference Track Proceedings*.